

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/923,139	ARANA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Jonathan S. Crepeau.

(3) _____.

(2) Bernard Pike.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner indicated that amendment filed on 3/22/05 was non-compliant because newly added claims were underlined. Mr. Pike agreed to fax a new claim set with the underlining removed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)